

Solutions to Homework 02

Yu Junao

September 19, 2024

Folland. *Real Analysis*

Exercise 1.2.1

(1)

Proof. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring including E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n , then

$$E_1 \cap E_2 = E_1 \setminus (E_1 \setminus E_2) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Through induction, it is easy to check the intersection of this n sets belongs to \mathcal{R} .

If \mathcal{R} is a σ -ring including $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, we consider

$$E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in \mathcal{R},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k &= E \setminus \left(E \setminus \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) = E \setminus \left(E \cap \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right)^c \right) \\ &= E \setminus \left(E \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k^c \right) \right) = E \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E \cap E_k^c \right) = E \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \setminus E_k) \right) \in \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

□

(2)

Proof. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring. It is easy to check

$$\mathcal{R} \text{ is an algebra} \implies \forall E \in \mathcal{R}, E^c \in \mathcal{R} \implies X = E \cup E^c \in \mathcal{R},$$

and conversely,

$$X \in \mathcal{R} \implies \forall E \in \mathcal{R}, E^c \in \mathcal{R} \implies E^c = X \setminus E \in \mathcal{R} \implies \mathcal{R} \text{ is a ring.}$$

This argument is still correct for σ -rings.

□

(3)

Proof. Let

$$Y = \{E \subset X : E \in \mathcal{R} \text{ or } E^c \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

It is obvious that Y is closed under complement since $(E^c)^c = E$.

For $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset Y$, we consider

$$A = \bigcup_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ E_k \in \mathcal{R}}} E_k \in \mathcal{R}, \quad B = \bigcap_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ E_k^c \in \mathcal{R}}} E_k^c \in \mathcal{R},$$

then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k = \left(\bigcup_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ E_k \in \mathcal{R}}} E_k \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ E_k^c \in \mathcal{R}}} E_k \right) = A \cup B^c = (A^c \cap B)^c = (B \setminus A)^c.$$

Therefore,

$$B \setminus A \in \mathcal{R} \implies \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in Y$$

□

(4)

Proof. Let

$$Z = \{E \subset X : E \cap F \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{R}\},$$

and $E \in Z, F \in \mathcal{R}$ be arbitrary, then

$$E^c \cap F = F \setminus E = F \setminus (E \cap F) \in \mathcal{R} \implies E^c \in Z.$$

Moreover, for $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset Y$ and arbitrary $F \in \mathcal{R}$, we have

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cap F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E_k \cap F) \in \mathcal{R} \implies \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \in Z.$$

□

Exercise 1.3.6

Proof. First, we are going to show that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is a σ -algebra. For $E \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset N \in \mathcal{N}$, we assume that $E \cap N = \emptyset$. Otherwise, we can substitute F, N respectively with $F \setminus E, N \setminus E$. Thus,

$$(E \cup F)^c = ((E \cup N) \setminus (N \setminus F))^c = (E \cup N)^c \cup (N^c \cup F) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}},$$

since $(E \cup N)^c \cup N^c \in \mathcal{M}$.

For such a sequence of sets $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \{F_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, \{N_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, we have

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right) \subset \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k \right) \in \mathcal{N} \implies \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Next, we need to show $\bar{\mu}$ is a complete measure. Obviously,

$$\bar{\mu}(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Additionally, for disjoint $\{E_k \cup F_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu} \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E_k \cup F_k) \right) &= \bar{\mu} \left(\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right) \right) \\ &= \mu \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k \cup F_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\bar{\mu}$ is a measure. Its definition implies completeness since for $F \subset N \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\bar{\mu}(F) = \bar{\mu}(\emptyset \cup F) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Finally, the uniqueness is left to be proved. Suppose there is another complete measure $\bar{\mu}'$ extending μ , we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}'(E \cup F) &\leq \bar{\mu}'(E \cup N) = \bar{\mu}'(E) \\ \bar{\mu}'(E \cup F) &\geq \bar{\mu}'(E \cup \emptyset) = \bar{\mu}'(E) \end{aligned} \right\} \implies \bar{\mu}' = \bar{\mu}, \forall E \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset N \in \mathcal{N}.$$

□

Exercise 1.3.8

Proof. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\left(\liminf_{j\rightarrow\infty} E_j\right) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j\right) = \mu\left(\lim_{k\rightarrow\infty} \bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j\right) \\ &= \lim_{k\rightarrow\infty} \mu\left(\bigcap_{j=k}^{\infty} E_j\right) \leq \lim_{k\rightarrow\infty} \inf_{j\geq k} \mu(E_j) = \liminf_{j\rightarrow\infty} \mu(E_j).\end{aligned}$$

We can similarly prove the other inequality. □

Exercise 1.3.10

Proof. Obviously,

$$\mu_E(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset \cap E) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Let $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a sequence of disjoint sets, then $\{A_k \cap E\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_E\left(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) &= \mu\left(\left(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) \cap E\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (A_k \cap E)\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k \cap E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_E(A_k).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, μ_E is a measure. □