

# Solutions to Homework 03

Yu Junao

September 23, 2024

## Folland. *Real Analysis*

### Exercise 1.4.17

*Proof.* The  $\sigma$ -subadditivity of  $\mu^*$  indicates

$$\mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) \right) = \mu^* \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (E \cap A_k) \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E \cap A_k),$$

hence we only need to prove the reverse inequality.

According to Carathéodory criterion, we have for any  $n > 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n A_k \right) \right) &= \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n A_k \right) \cap A_n \right) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n A_k \right) \cap A_n^c \right) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap A_n) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n A_k \right) \cap A_n^c \right) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap A_n) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k \right) \right) \\ &= \mu^*(E \cap A_n) + \mu^*(E \cap A_{n-1}) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{n-2} A_k \right) \right) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu^*(E \cap A_k) + \mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^1 A_k \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E \cap A_k). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\mu^* \left( E \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) \right) \geq \mu^* \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n (E \cap A_k) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(E \cap A_k).$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and we reach the conclusion. □

### Exercise 1.4.23

(1)

*Proof.* (The statement of the problem contains a mistake  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$ , which should be revised as  $-\infty \leq a \leq b \leq +\infty$ .)

By **proposition 1.7**, we only need to check

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(a, b] \cap \mathbb{Q} \mid a \leq b, a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}\}$$

is an **elementary family**.

By choosing  $a = b$ , we notice  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Given  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ , we have  $A \cap B = \emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$  if they are disjoint and  $A \cap B = A \in \mathcal{E}$  if  $A \subset B$ . The remaining case is  $A = (a_1, a_2] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and  $B = (b_1, b_2] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 \text{ or } b_1 < a_1 < b_2 < a_2.$$

Without loss of generality, we only focus on the former case, in which

$$A \cap B = (b_1, a_2] \in \mathcal{E}.$$

For  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ , its complement in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is

$$\emptyset^c = \mathbb{Q} = (-\infty, +\infty] \cap \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{E}.$$

For nonempty  $A = (a, b] \cap \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{E}$ , its complement in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is

$$A^c = ((-\infty, a] \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cup ((b, +\infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}) = ((-\infty, a] \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cup ((b, +\infty] \cap \mathbb{Q}),$$

a finite union of elements in  $\mathcal{E}$ . □

(2)

*Proof.* Let

$$A_k = (a_k, b_k] \cap \mathbb{Q}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

be countably many sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k, b_k] \right) \cap \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}.$$

That is to say, the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

On the other hand, fix an arbitrary  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ .  $\mathbb{Q}$  is obviously countable, and  $\forall q \in Q$ , we have

$$\{q\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \left( q - \frac{1}{k}, q \right] \cap Q \right).$$

Therefore,  $Q$  is generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  through countable union and intersection.  $\square$

**(3)**

*Proof.* For  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that their union belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have

$$\mu_0 \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) = \mu_0(\emptyset) = 0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_k),$$

if  $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = \emptyset$ . Otherwise,

$$\mu_0 \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) = +\infty = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_k).$$

Therefore,  $\mu_0$  is definitely a premeasure.

Consider a natural extension  $\mu_1$  such that

$$\mu_1(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & A = \emptyset, \\ +\infty, & A \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check  $\mu_1$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $\mu_2$  be the counting measure such that

$$\mu_2(A) = \begin{cases} |A|, & |A| < +\infty, \\ +\infty, & |A| = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

For nonempty  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $A$  includes all rational numbers in an interval, which are countably infinite. Therefore,  $\mu_2(A) = +\infty = \mu_0(A)$ .

To conclude,  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two different measures that coincide on  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$